UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,829	10/26/2006	Richard W. Siegel	0094.064A	6721
23405 7590 05/25/2010 HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			CHIN, HUI H	
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/568,829	SIEGEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUI CHIN	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the control of the cont	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/8/2010.	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

The office action is in reference to the Amendment, filed on 4/7/2010.
 Claims 1, 10, 11 and 14 have been amended and claim 16 has been canceled. Claims 1-15 and 17-18 are now pending.

2. In view of the Response, the previous rejection of claims 1, 4-15 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nelson et al. (US 2005/0027040), claims 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. (US 2005/0027040) in view of Bernhoff et al. (US 2002/0070428), and claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. (US 2005/0027040) in view of Bernhoff et al. (US 2002/0070428) are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu et al. (CN 1188779).

Yu et al. disclose an electrically conducting high-molecular composite material with positive temp coefficient (PTC) which is prepared from high-molecular crystallizing

basic material or the mixture of high-molecular crystallizing basic material and another high-molecular material, 5-40 wt% of modified conducting filler (reads on less than 40% by volume) and other assistants through proportioning, mixing, granulating /crushing, shaping, cross-linking and heat treatment, wherein said modified conducting filler serves to regulate the interface force between filler and basic material to disperse the filler non-uniformly and selectively (abstract, claim 1).

The limitations of claims 2 and 3 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at claim 3, where it discloses carbon black.

The limitations of claims 5 and 6 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at page 2, first paragraph, where it discloses various polymers.

The limitations of claims 7 and 17 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at page 2, first paragraph, where it discloses HDPE.

The limitations of claims 8 and 9 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at page 2, first and second paragraphs, where it discloses immisicible polymers.

The limitations of claim 10 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at claim 3, where it discloses 15~100nm.

The limitations of claim 11 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at claim 1, where it discloses 5-40 wt %.

The limitations of claim 12 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at page 2, last paragraph, where it discloses orgaosilane and orgaotitanate.

The limitations of claims 13 and 15 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at page 2, last paragraph, where it discloses octyl group.

Art Unit: 1796

The limitations of claim 14 can be found in <u>Yu et al.</u> at claim 1, abstract, and page 2, last paragraph, where it discloses the material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Yu et al.</u> (CN 1188779), as applied to claims 1-15 and 17, in view of <u>Foulger</u> (US Patent 6,417,265).

The disclosure of <u>Yu et al.</u> is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 and is incorporated herein by reference.

However, Yu et al. are silent on the electric cable.

Foulger discloses a conducting polymer composite comprising polymeric material and a conducting filler such as carbon nanotube to provide a composition for electric field grading in power cables (claims 1 and 9, col. 1, line 29, col. 3, line 38). In light of such benefit, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce a field grading material to be used in cable with the expected success.

Art Unit: 1796

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 4/7/2010 have been fully considered and are not persuasive.

The applicants' remarks have been addressed in the above rejections.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUI CHIN whose telephone number is (571)270-7350. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:00am - 5:00pm EST.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ling-Siu Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/HC/